

Workshop on Socio-economic analysis in applications for authorisation and restrictions under REACH

29 June 2016, 9 am – 5 pm

Auditorium, Breydel Building, Brussels

Programme

[Registration & coffee 8.30 – 9 am]

Section 1: Role of SEA in REACH

9 – 10.30 am

| | |
|---|--|
| Klaus Berend, <i>European Commission</i> | Opening – purpose of the workshop |
| Manol Bengyuzov, <i>European Commission</i> | SEA and its role in the decision-making process |
| Frida Hök, <i>ChemSec & Erwin Annys, Cefic</i> | Challenges in applying SEA to assess applications for authorisation and restrictions, how would the system function without SEA? |
| Christoph Rheinberger, <i>European Chemicals Agency</i> | Dispell the myths: What SEA is and is not, why SEA is made and what constitutes a good one, how SEA links with the analysis of alternatives and substitution |

- Discussion

[Coffee break 10.30 – 11 am]

Section 2(1): Practical implementation of SEA

11 am – 12 pm

| | |
|---|---|
| Lars Fock, <i>Danish Environmental Protection Agency</i> <u>Comment:</u> Stavros Georgiou, <i>HSE and SEAC</i> | SEA in practice: The restriction of leather articles containing chromium VI coming into contact with the skin |
| Richard Dubourg, <i>The Economics Interface</i> <u>Comment:</u> Simon Cogen, <i>SEAC</i> | How SEA has been used in practice in applications for authorisation |

Parallel poster sessions [including lunch break]

12 – 1.30 pm

| | |
|--|--|
| Poster 1: Kalle Kivelä, <i>European Chemicals Agency</i> | Costs and benefits of restrictions made during the REACH Regulation. |
| Poster 2: Sanna Henrichson, <i>European Chemicals Agency</i> | Costs and benefits of applications for authorisation thus far – lessons learnt |
| Poster 3: Julius Waller and Nina Petrovic, <i>EPPA</i> | The cost of alternatives and how they interlink into the SEA – practical experiences |

Section 2(2): Practical implementation of SEA

1.30 – 2.30 pm

| | |
|---|---|
| Philipp Hennig, <i>SEAC</i> <u>Comment:</u> Richard Luit, <i>RIVM and SEAC</i> | How the analysis of socio-economic impacts has influenced the opinions of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis of ECHA |
| Manol Bengyuzov, <i>European Commission</i> <u>Comment:</u> Antonios Sfakiotakis, <i>Parliamentary Assistant to MEP Jäätteenmäki</i> | Role of SEA and SEAC's opinions in the Commission's authorisation decisions and restriction regulations |

- Orientation Discussion

[Coffee break 2.30 – 3 pm]

Section 3: Learning and communicating

3 - 4.30 pm

Panel discussion on lessons learnt, what might be still open, how to resolve open issues and what key issues need to be (better) communicated to the Parliament, Commission, ECHA's management board, SEAC, Member States, consultants, applicants and NGOs.

The presenters of the previous sessions participate, too.

| | |
|--|--|
| Tomas Öberg, <i>Chair of ECHA's Committee for Socio-economic Analysis (SEAC)</i> | Axel Singhofen, <i>Advisor on Health and Environment Policy, European Parliament</i> Björn Hansen, <i>European Commission</i> Keith Bailey, <i>DEFRA</i> Stavros Georgiou, <i>SEAC</i> Frida Hök, <i>Chemsec</i> Hugo Waeterschoot, <i>Eurometaux</i> Julius Waller, <i>EPPA</i> |
| Themes (tbc) | (i) the role of SEA and its value for the decision-making process under REACH |
| | (ii) link between the analysis of alternatives, substitution and SEA |
| | (iii) how to deal with benefits when they cannot be quantified or monetised |

- Questions and answers

Section 4: Conclusion

4.30 – 5 pm

| | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Matti Vainio, <i>European Chemicals Agency & Björn Hansen, European Commission</i> | Conclusions and possible next steps |
|--|-------------------------------------|

[Closure 5 pm]